



**Minutes of the
Millcreek City Council
February 24, 2020
5:30 p.m.
Work Meeting
7:00 p.m.
Regular Meeting**

The City Council of Millcreek, Utah, met in a public work meeting and regular meeting on February 24, 2020 at City Hall, located at 3330 S. 1300 E., Millcreek, UT 84106.

PRESENT:

Council Members

Jeff Silvestrini, Mayor
Silvia Catten, District 1
Dwight Marchant, District 2
Cheri Jackson, District 3
Bev Uipi, District 4

City Staff

John Geilmann, City Manager
John Brems, City Attorney
Elyse Sullivan, City Recorder
Rita Lund, Communications Director
Francis Lilly, Planning and Zoning Director
Kurt Hansen, Legislative Policy Director
Jim Hardy, Building Services Director
John Miller, City Engineer
Jared Bowling, IT & Facilities Manager
Blaine Gehring, Legislative Policy Analyst

Attendees: Commissioner Shawn LaMar, Commissioner Tom Stephens, Commissioner Victoria Reid, Marv Poulson, Linda Milne, Teresa & Warren Carlson, Roberta Walker, Matt Largent, Taylor Stevens, Zoe Price, Sherri Wittmer, David Baird, Stuart Hinckley, Angie Hinckley, John Janson, Tammy Murillo, Kirk Bradford, M. Reid, Jace Bunting, Erin McCormack, Christine Balderas, Dan Balderas, Kate Johnson, Bruce Parker, Dewey Reagan, Joel Bown, Ashley Spatafore, Dave Spatafore, Detective Christian Thompson, Officer JD Nelson, and Chief Steve DeBry.

WORK MEETING – 5:30 p.m.

TIME COMMENCED: 5:33 p.m.

Mayor Silvestrini called the meeting to order.

1. Legislative Update; Capstone Strategies

Mayor Silvestrini said he went to the Legislative Policy Committee meeting for the League of Cities and Towns and brought up H.B. 388 Land Use Development and Management Revisions and H.B. 374 Local Government Building Regulation. H.B. 374 is proposed amendments to building permit inspections; if plans are stamped by a licensed architect or engineer then they can use their own hired inspector for inspections. The Utah League of Cities and Towns was not in support of the bill.

Ashley Spatafore said H.B. 374 had a substitute that was not out yet, but it would have a compromise with design regulations. She said it would allow developers and cities to work together. The substitute would do a study on building inspection times. She said she and Dave Spatafore review bills, tag ones that Millcreek may be interested in, and email them to the Mayor, City Manager, and the Legislative Policy Director. They then gather information and send an email to Millcreek's legislators on Millcreek's response to the bills. She said they have been looking at a lot of housing affordability bills such as S.B. 39 Affordable Housing Amendments, S.B. 122 Housing Loss Mitigation Amendments, H.B. 374, and H.B. 273 Property Rights Ombudsman Amendments.

Dave Spatafore said S.B. 134 Property Tax Abatement for Wildfire Prevention would be disastrous for Millcreek as a member of Unified Fire Authority Service Area and the Unified Police Department District (UPD). If property owners in the canyon made fire mitigations to their property every year, they could get an 80% property tax reduction, which would mean services in the canyon would not be paid for. John Brems pointed out that Millcreek was not a member of the UPD district, just contracted for their services.

Dave Spatafore said H.B. 101 Distracted Driver Amendments passed the House. Ashley Spatafore said S.B. 150 Transportation Governance and Funding Amendments was a bill to keep on the radar because it dealt with Class B and C Road funds. Mayor Silvestrini said the County's share of those funds would be reduced from 14% to 7% to reflect the reduction in population.

2. Draft Ordinance Updates; Kurt Hansen, Legislative Policy Director

Kurt Hansen said staff was working on ordinance revisions on fences, clearview, disposal of surplus property, historic building preservation, lot coverage, tree preservation, multifamily emergency cache, and a branding guide for the Millcreek logo. The Planning Commission provided input on the fence ordinance. Hansen said since there was a property line issue and a right of way issue, staff was trying to craft wording that covered both, and adding a clearview requirement for backing out of driveways. Millcreek ordinance requires that all items deemed surplus go to the City Council for pricing, so staff was changing the wording so that items under \$100 (determined by City Manager and Department Director) may be sold without a presentation to the Council. The lot coverage definition only accounted for structures and not for impervious surfaces, so staff was changing it to include all impervious surfaces and increase lot coverage percentages in some cases. The tree preservation ordinance would declare that if a mature tree (over 4 to 6 inches in diameter) was cut down, then there would be a requirement to plant replacements or pay into a tree fund which the City would use to plant trees around the City. The multifamily emergency cache ordinance would create a requirement for dedicated space within a development for an emergency cache which would be predicated on some minimum number of dwelling units or number of residents. Hansen said there were caches around the City with a list of supplies contained in them.

Linda Milne, 2798 S. 2475 E., said the emergency cache idea came from the Canyon Rim Citizens Association because they looked at elementary school locations for emergency caches. The caches were needed most in the Millcreek Community Council area. Francis Lilly pointed out that the Millcreek Community Council recommended, and the Planning Commission imposed a condition on a recent 50-unit development that required the building to have an emergency cache and the applicant consented.

Mayor Silvestrini brought up other iterations of the logo being added to the branding guide. Hansen said the main logo was trademarked, which was why there was the guide.

3. Storm Drain Utility Update; John Miller, City Engineer

Mayor Silvestrini brought up a project on Hillside Lane starting. John Miller said he incorporated the Council's changes to the storm drain utility draft video and showed them an updated version. Miller said he was creating a FAQ list for the website. The City had contracted with a consultant for a policy manual and that would be ready by the end of June. Rocky Mountain Power has also agreed to let the City use their billing for the storm drain utility fee.

4. Planning Item First Reading:

a. ZT-20-002, Amendments to the City Center Overlay Zone; Francis Lilly, Planning & Zoning Director and Erin O'Kelley, Planner

Francis Lilly said staff observed a problem after adopting the code when it was applied to the design of the Millcreek Common open space and development projects. The first technical fix was a separation between residential buildings and side and rear yard setbacks. Developers agreed that 40 feet was excessive so that would be adjusted to 30 feet, but the setback would be tied to the property line and could act as a setback on side and rearview yards. The second fix was that 60% of units must have a balcony instead of 100% to add more variety to the building. The third fix was to allow for setbacks on corner plazas but not require them. There was benefit to holding the corner for prominent design. The fourth fix was for height exceptions for mechanical equipment. This fix was to consider an override space for elevators, so the change was from 12 feet to 14 feet. The fifth fix was for rooftop gardens and plant requirements. At their meeting on February 19, 2020, the Planning Commission recommended that 25% of the canopy should provide overhead shade. The sixth fix was a graphic change to adjust the graphic to match the table in the code. The community councils unanimously recommended adoption of the proposed technical fixes and the Planning Commission recommended approval with additional language regarding adaptive reuse (e(1)) that would state that "reconstruction should attempt to retain as much of the original façade or character of the building as possible" and 25% of the canopy provides overhead shade (referencing rooftop gardens).

Council Member Uipi moved to adjourn the work meeting at 6:50 p.m. Council Member Jackson seconded. Mayor Silvestrini called for the vote. All Council Members voted yes. The motion passed unanimously.

REGULAR MEETING – 7:00 p.m.

TIME COMMENCED: 7:03 p.m.

1. Welcome, Introduction and Preliminary Matters

1.1 Pledge of Allegiance

Mayor Silvestrini called the meeting to order and led the pledge of allegiance. He expressed condolences to the family who lost their son in an automobile accident the prior day and the family of the person in the vehicle.

Chief Steve DeBry recognized Officer of the Month for January 2020 as Officer J. D. Nelson. He said Officer Nelson had been serving in the armed forces and recently returned from deployment. Officer J. D. Nelson responded to a domestic violence case, conducted a thorough investigation, and recovered a gun from a restricted person. Chief DeBry said domestic violence cases were difficult to investigate. The Citizens Advisory Board and East Mill Creek Lions Club presented Officer Nelson with a gift.

1.2 Public Comment

Marv Poulson, 3631 Carolyn Street, asked if the Highland Drive restriping was a compelling need. He said south of 3300 S. was less safe than the proposed restriping area. He showed the Council pictures of poorly conditioned asphalt on Highland Drive, 1300 E., 3900 S., and other roads. He asked that other roads be addressed before restriping an unurgent need on Highland Drive.

Mayor Silvestrini said Highland Drive needed to be repainted. The City had an open house on repainting it in a new configuration and staff would collate and publicize those results. John Miller said 3900 S. was on the schedule for a mill and fill from 700 E. to the Jordan River in conjunction with South Salt Lake, as was extending lane leveling repairs to 1300 E. He said storm drain emergencies were sucking road funds and those remaining funds are applied to where the most traffic is.

2. Planning Matters

2.1 Discussion and Consideration of Ordinance 20-07, Adopting Section 19.04.279 and Amending Section 19.82.185 of the Millcreek Code with Respect to Regulating Billboards

Mayor Silvestrini disclosed that Reagan Outdoor Advertising donated to his mayoral campaigns, he had done legal work for them 8-10 years ago, and his wife continued to do legal work for them. Council Member Uipi said Reagan Outdoor Advertising supported her election campaign as well. Mayor Silvestrini said the Council had already had a first reading on the item, presented by staff.

Francis Lilly said the proposed ordinance process began with the Planning Commission last year. On April 10, 2019 the Commission sent a recommendation to the Council for changes to the billboard ordinance. Those changes found in the draft ordinance consisted of: limiting signs in most cases to 300 square feet in size; billboards would no longer be an allowed use in the C-1 Zone; there would be height limits down to 32 feet or 40 feet if the sign was not visible from a residential area; an imposed separation requirement between billboards and between billboards and on-premise signs; an increased setback to five feet; an imposed new lighting standard for billboards, illumination cast onto the billboard would be required and not seen from the ground level; there would be no changes to the effective prohibition to electronic message displays; and the ordinance contained a prohibited intersections list. At the last Council meeting, the Council asked Lilly how many signs in Millcreek had a face greater than 300 square feet and the answer was 21 and only 4 of them were freeway-oriented signs. He showed the Council a map highlighting the billboards in the City. The Council also asked about the status of the billboard bank at that previous meeting. Lilly said Reagan Outdoor Advertising had 924 square feet in the bank that would expire in October 2021. The Planning Commission's

recommendation attempted to acknowledge the General Plan and its considerations of billboards. He asked if the square footage would be better distributed in more smaller signs or fewer bigger signs and the Commission determined that smaller sizes would be more appropriate. Council Member Marchant said it depended on where the billboards were located. Council Member Uipi acknowledged the comments received via email from the public.

Mayor Silvestrini invited public comment.

Tom Stephens, 4408 Adonis Drive, said he was representing himself as a resident, not as a Planning Commissioner. He said the City was trying to bring the billboard standard up to other cities. He explained that the new General Plan explicitly stated that billboards should be reduced in presence and size in Millcreek. Billboards were a negative economic influence. The proposed billboard ordinance was concise, and he recommended approval of it. Mayor Silvestrini said Tom Stephens was an advocate for removing billboards in Millcreek. He explained that the City faced a situation where billboards were highly regulated by state law, regardless of local ordinance. A billboard company could relocate a billboard to any location within a mile of its current location at the same or greater height, with the same size, and same setback. If the City's ordinance was sufficiently strict so the billboard company could not move a billboard someplace else, they could default to state law. As a comparison, other cities prohibit billboards. He said if the ordinance was too strict, then the Council did not accomplish anything for Millcreek. He asked Stephens how the ordinance would accomplish the goals of the General Plan. Stephens said he intended on billboard companies defecting to state law. Millcreek's ordinance would be a reflection of the community's standards and expectations and that Millcreek did what it could to regulate them. State law favored billboards and billboard owners. Mayor Silvestrini expressed appreciation for Stephen's work on the ordinance. Council Member Marchant asked how much it would cost the City to buy and tear a down a billboard. Stephens said the City was saturated with billboards and under the current ordinance, it was difficult to relocate a billboard. He said Reagan Outdoor Advertising tried to work with the County before to find a place for billboard square footage and there was not any available space which is why the County allowed the expansion of the billboard at Milo Sports.

Shawn LaMar, 3621 S. 1200 E., said the Planning Commission put a lot of work into the proposed ordinance. He did not feel the draft ordinance was strict enough, but it was better than the current one. He felt that billboards should be a conditional use to get public and Commission input, and a business license should be required for every individual billboard to make it difficult to do business. He encouraged the Council to pass the ordinance without changes.

Joel Bown, 4802 S. Mountain Lane, encouraged approval of the ordinance because it was good for Millcreek to make a statement on billboards. He recognized that billboards were a long-term issue.

Erin McCormack, 936 E. Grape Ivy Way, gave support for the ordinance.

Roberta Walker, 3166 S. 2000 E., thanked staff for providing a detailed staff report. She said there were 51 billboards in Millcreek, which was an excessive amount, and 17 of those exceeded 288 square feet. She was pleased to see location restrictions in the ordinance. The General Plan aims to improve the safety and visual image of the community by protecting viewsheds. She felt billboards should be limited and it was valid to limit the size of billboards on State Street too. She offered a compromise that the freeway-oriented signs could be made larger to reduce the number of signs in the City. She said she supported redevelopment in Millcreek and preferred reducing the quantity of billboards since they provided no benefit to residents and their quality of life. She asked if the billboard ban was state law, and if not, that the time period should be reduced from 36 months to 12 months.

Marv Poulson, 3631 Carolyn Street, thanked the Planning Commission for their work. He had a procedural objection to the Mayor's conflict of interest. He requested the Council discuss recusals.

Stuart Hinckley, 2833 Mountain View Drive, said he was a business owner. He said Millcreek was maturing as a wonderful city and the Council had been doing a great job. He said the ordinance was an opportunity to make a statement to billboard companies. If there was a conflict with the proposed ordinance, he said the City Attorney could deal with it. He said for tax purposes, the billboard was worthless according to billboard companies, but if the City wanted to condemn it, it would be worth millions. He said when a family materially received income from an industry, there should be a recusal on a related item (referencing the Councils' conflicts of interest).

Bruce Parker, 3007 E. Cruise Way, said the Planning Commission spent 2-3 months formulating a recommendation on the proposed ordinance 10 months ago and those Commissioners were appointed by the Council. He asked the Council to adopt the ordinance recommended without modifications. He said the community had standards, which were reflected in the General Plan and the proposed ordinance. He asked the Council to let the free market rule and refrain from acquisitions of billboards.

Linda Milne, 2798 S. 2475 E., asked how a particular industry had so much influence in the State Legislature. She asked for the Council to do something about that.

John Janson, 2643 E. 3120 S., said large billboard sizes were considered by most cities as freeway-oriented billboards and Millcreek has them throughout the City. He said in West Valley he worked on billboards and was able to move them by ordinance. He brought up that downlighting on billboards should be required. He pointed out that the City would not be able to buy many billboards as means of removal. He suggested an incentive to allow video boards in some locations to be able to remove billboards in other locations.

Victoria Reid, 1857 E. Millbrook Road, asked about the cost implication of the City buying billboards in the ordinance. Council Member Marchant wanted to know for perspective not because he wanted to purchase billboards. Reid said billboards were not in the City's economic interest.

Dewey Reagan, President of Reagan Outdoor Advertising, said the billboard industry's first choice was to work with municipalities on billboard relocation. He referenced a sign built in the Olympus Hills Mall that was relocated based on public input. He said state law had been in effect since 2010 and in the majority of instances it had not been used by the billboard industry. Municipalities often approach the industry about relocation of signs for development of property and wanted them to use state code because the local code was too restrictive to assist in that. He said there had been no new billboards in Millcreek since 2006 and there would not be more billboards. He was willing to move around and consolidate billboards. The residents would like to see change to the aesthetics of billboards and where they are located. He said he was interested in working with the City. He expressed concern with current ordinance draft and the number of signs that would become nonconforming if it was approved. He said the ordinance would not motivate the industry to invoke state law, rather every sign that the industry had would stay as they were in their current locations. He did not feel that was what any of the stakeholders wanted to have happen. He said he was willing to look at reducing the height or size of the sign near Milo Sports. Council Member Uipi brought up the sign located near 2300 E. off of the freeway. Reagan said that location had a perpetual easement recorded for that sign. He said he could look at that sign, too.

Council Member Uipi asked about the cost of a billboard. Reagan said there were a lot of variables for figuring the cost of a billboard for condemnation purposes such as size, location, and revenue it generates. In most incidents, they were relocated and not condemned. Council Member Uipi asked about billboards in the City Center. Lilly said there were a handful of signs near or in the City Center and one sign in the open space. Council Member Catten said the Milo Sports billboard did not spur the draft ordinance. She asked if Reagan would be willing to help the City relocate other billboards. Reagan said yes. Council Member Uipi asked if electronic signs would be an incentive to relocate or remove signs. Reagan said possibilities for digital signs could be of assistance. Council Member Marchant asked about the taxing of billboards. Reagan said billboards were taxed as personal property. The industry's perspective is that they are used for generating revenue like trucks for the trucking industry. Council Member Marchant asked about condemnation value of billboards. Reagan said with condemnation, what is being taken is the object being used to generate business. Council Member Jackson asked about the ordinance turning billboards as nonconforming. She pointed out that it was not different from other surrounding cities.

Tom Stephens said conforming and nonconforming status of billboards was irrelevant because the law allowed for the movement of both. The proposed ordinance was not revolutionary, it just tightened standards. He pointed out that Dewey Reagan's comments on working with the City on anything was not binding.

Dewey Reagan said the more reasons the sign has as being nonconforming, the more complicated it was to move them. He pointed out that the billboard industry did not request a long delay in resolving the proposed ordinance issue and requested the City resolve the issue as rapidly as possible.

Bruce Parker said the Planning Commission made a recommendation on the proposed ordinance in April 2019. The public deserved action and the passing the ordinance would not preclude any future conversations with the billboard industry.

Stuart Hinckley felt that Dewey Reagan had ample opportunity to communicate that Reagan Outdoor Advertising was willing to work with the City. He said local government could be responsible and protect their own views and neighborhoods. Council Member Uipi asked if relocating square footage on 3300 S. would be pleasing to the public. Hinckley said that conversation was not precluded by passing the ordinance.

Mayor Silvestrini closed public comment.

Council Member Marchant said he was sympathetic to the feelings of the community and recognized that sign companies had legal rights. His priorities were to not see signs in the city center and to re-designate large signs towards the freeway. He wanted to know how the sign company would demonstrate a willingness to work with the City. He said the sign company objected to almost everything in the draft ordinance and questioned their willingness to work with the City if they objected to everything.

Council Member Jackson said she had heard from constituents in her district and nobody had asked for the Milo Sport sign to be removed. She said the sign company only offered objections to the draft ordinance the prior Friday, but it had been considered by the City for 10 months. She said she would be willing to consider changing the size of the large billboards to the industry standard and the proximity to pole signs but all four community councils and the Planning Commission recommended the proposed ordinance and it reflected the goals of the City from the General Plan. Council Member Catten said passing the ordinance did not get rid of the billboards. She said relocating billboards to the west side of the City was not fair to those residents. She felt the impetus was on Millcreek to push the billboard industry to be innovative in how they do business but wondered why the industry was not be expected to change with the times. Council Member Uipi said she was in favor of passing an ordinance and said using a billboard for her campaign was beneficial. The residents in her district did not feel they could have a conversation with the billboard companies. She felt foresight was important and wanted the policy makers to be able to have the conversation with the billboard companies.

Mayor Silvestrini said he cared about the City and had expertise on the matter, and that was why he did not recuse himself. He did not want Millcreek to become the poster child for the State Legislature. The size limitation of billboards could trigger that and there was no benefit to Millcreek for that change. He did not want Millcreek to get sued or have to purchase billboards. He expressed concerns about the ordinance and the list of protected areas that were east-centric. He pointed out that lighting on the top of the billboard was harder to service and shined on the ground and he favored limiting the lighting after 11 p.m. He said proximity requirements limited relocation ability. He said he knows Millcreek residents do not like billboards so he did not like approving an ordinance to send a message when the City could craft one that facilitated relocation of billboards. The Council waited to consider the ordinance because staff was prioritized with other items. He suggested putting the sign companies to the test and giving them a time period to work with the City before approving an ordinance to send a message. Council Member

Uipi also requested a conversation with the billboard company. Council Member Marchant said dialogue was not precluded by passing an ordinance.

Council Member Catten asked about an email Lilly sent to Dewey Reagan. Lilly discussed illumination standards in Title 19.82 and the proposed ordinance. Council Member Marchant said he was willing to adjust the lighting requirements. Council Member Uipi requested one meeting with the billboard companies. Lilly read from code 19.83.130 on illuminated signs because it conflicted with the proposed ordinance. Mayor Silvestrini recommended the ordinance say “ground/pole sign” instead of “ground sign” in the Setbacks section. Council Member Jackson asked about the billboard square footage bank. Mayor Silvestrini suggested allowing the billboards to have more time to use their banked square footage. He asked about the prohibited intersections. Council Member Uipi mentioned compromising on the Prohibited Locations section. Council Member Jackson asked about making a change to the ordinance in the future. Lilly said the amendment would have to go through the land use process. Council Member Marchant refined his motion through discussion with the Council and staff.

Council Member Marchant moved to adopt Ordinance 20-07 as written but eliminate the second sentence in section 10 on lighting. Council Member Jackson seconded. Council Member Uipi offered a substitute motion and moved to continue the matter to the next Council meeting (March 9th). Mayor Silvestrini seconded the motion. The Recorder called for the vote on the substitute motion. Council Member Uipi and Mayor Silvestrini voted yes. Council Members Catten, Jackson, and Marchant voted no. The motion failed. The Recorder called for the vote on the original motion. Council Members Marchant, Jackson, and Catten voted yes. Council Member Uipi voted no. Mayor Silvestrini abstained (counted as a no vote). The motion passed.

2.2 Discussion and Consideration of Resolution 20-07, Accepting a Petition for Annexation Known as Unincorporated Triangle with Respect to Property Located at or Near 1298 E Murray Holladay Road for Further Consideration and Related Matters

Mayor Silvestrini said the resolution was for further consideration of the petition received from the unincorporated area west of 1300 E. and north of Van Winkle Expressway. The City had received a petition from the residents living there and the resolution was to continue the process for the City to be able to accept the annexation. Millcreek was already providing police service to the area without compensation, so with the annexation those residents would pay property tax to Millcreek to pay for those services. He said this would improve Millcreek’s revenue and solve a problem for the Municipal Services District. The Mayor said there was a petition circulating to annex the same area south of Van Winkle into Murray City.

Council Member Marchant moved to accept the petition for annexation as described by the unincorporated triangle as described in Resolution 20-07. Council Member Catten seconded. The Recorder called for the vote. All Council Members voted yes. The motion passed unanimously.

3. Business Matters

3.1 Discussion and Consideration of Resolution 20-06, Approving an Interlocal Agreement with Salt Lake County for Animal Services – Amendment #3

Mayor Silvestrini said the resolution was for a renewal of the contract. Rita Lund said there would be no changes to level of services or cost.

Council Member Uipi moved to approve item 3.1. Council Member Jackson seconded. The Recorder called for the vote. All Council Members voted yes. The motion passed unanimously.

3.2 Discussion and Consideration of Resolution 20-08, Approving an Interlocal Cooperative Agreement with Salt Lake County with Respect to Bond Assignments

Mayor Silvestrini said the resolution was a housekeeping matter. The County intended to assign all the bonds posted by developments to Millcreek when Millcreek incorporated and this one was missed. John Brems said there may be other bonds that were missed.

Council Member Uipi moved to approve item 3.2. Council Member Catten seconded. The Recorder called for the vote. All Council Members voted yes. The motion passed unanimously.

4. Reports**4.1 Mayor's Report**

Mayor Silvestrini said he attended the food distribution the last two weekends with the Utah Food Bank. He had been at the Legislature working on issues for Millcreek and would be testifying in favor of S.B. 150. He also attended the Council of Mayors meeting and they discussed issues with recycling.

5.2 City Council Member Reports

Council Member Marchant said he attended the Wasatch Front Waste and Recycling District Board meeting. He said when people put contaminants in the blue recycle bin, it could ruin a whole truck load of recyclables. The District would be putting notices on cans when nonrecyclables are put in the blue bin as a warning. If certain residents repeatedly do it, the District would not pick up their can anymore.

5.3 Staff Reports

Rita Lund brought up the parking situation at Skyline High School and said Phase 2 construction would consist of parking to the southwest of the school. Also, the 3900 S. project right-of-way acquisition was moving along.

4.4 Unified Police Department Report

Detective Christian Thompson went over the January 2020 calls for service (2,418), total cases (1,023), arrests (43), and citations (375) trends. In January, there was a 17% decrease in assaults, 26% decrease in burglaries, 18% decrease in drug related and family related offenses, no homicides, 3% increase in larcenies, 208% decrease in robberies, 10% decrease in sexual assaults, 158% decrease in sexual offenses, and 21% increase in stolen vehicles. He went over a domestic violence/aggravated assault case on Sierra Ridge Court, a domestic violence case on Evergreen Avenue, and gambling/money laundering case on Main Street. He mentioned that Unified Police Department officers visited with kids at the Elizabeth School on Connor Street.

4.5 Unified Fire Authority Report

There was no report.

6. Consent Agenda

6.1 Approval of February 10, 2020 Work Meeting and Regular Meeting Minutes

Council Member Uipi moved to approve the minutes. Council Member Jackson seconded. Mayor Silvestrini called for the vote. All Council Members voted yes. The motion passed unanimously.

7. New Items for Subsequent Consideration

There were none.

8. Calendar of Upcoming Events

- Mt. Olympus Community Council Mtg., 3/2/20, 6:00 p.m. at 3450 E. Oakview Dr.
- Millcreek Community Council Mtg., 3/3/20, 6:30 p.m. at City Hall
- Canyon Rim Citizens Association Mtg., 3/4/20, 7:00 p.m. at 2375 E. 3300 S.
- East Mill Creek Community Council Mtg., 3/5/20, 6:30 p.m. at 2266 E. Evergreen Ave.
- City Council Mtg., 3/9/20, 5:00 p.m. at City Hall
- ~~City Council Work Mtg., 3/16/20, 5:30 p.m. at City Hall~~
- Planning Commission Mtg., 3/18/20, 5:00 p.m. at City Hall

Mayor Silvestrini went over the calendar of upcoming events. John Geilmann pointed out that there would not be a work meeting on March 16th due to the Council retreat that day at 2:00 p.m. Council Member Jackson asked about the next H.B. 411 (2019) meeting. Mayor Silvestrini said he and Kurt Hansen would be attending those meetings. The Council continued to discuss H.B. 411 meeting details.

ADJOURNED: Council Member Uipi moved to adjourn the meeting at 10:29 p.m. Council Member Jackson seconded. Mayor Silvestrini called for the vote. All Council Members voted yes. The motion passed unanimously.

APPROVED: _____ **Date**
Jeff Silvestrini, Mayor

Attest: _____
Elyse Sullivan, City Recorder